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#### Abstract

We study some convergence (in $L^{p}$ ) and spectral properties of the positive spline operators $U_{n, k} f(x)=\sum_{i}\left(\int_{0}^{1} M_{i, k}(t) f(t) d t\right) N_{i, k}(x)$, where $\sum_{i} N_{i, k}=1$ and $\int_{0}^{1} M_{i, k}=1$, $N_{i, k}$ and $M_{i, k}$ being the $B$-splines of degree $k$ and class $C^{k-1}$ associated with some partition of $I=[0,1]$ into $n$ subintervals. Their eigenfunctions are orthogonal splines generalizing in some sense the Legendre polynomials with which they share many properties. © 1988 Academic Press, Inc.


## I. Introduction

Let $\Delta_{n}=\left\{0=x_{0}<x_{1}<\cdots<x_{n}=1\right\}$ be an arbitrary partition of $I=[0,1]$ extended to a sequence $\Delta_{n, k}$ of knots by setting $x_{-k}=\cdots=x_{-1}=x_{0}$ and $x_{n}=\cdots=x_{n+k}=1(n, k \geqslant 1)$. The nodes of $\Delta_{n, k}$ are the points

$$
\xi_{i, k}=\left(x_{i+1}+\cdots+x_{i+k}\right) / k \quad(-k \leqslant i \leqslant n-1) .
$$

The normalized $B$-splines of degree $k$ are defined by

$$
N_{i, k}(x)=\frac{x_{i+k+1}-x_{i}}{k+1} M_{i . k}(x)
$$

where the $B$-spline $M_{i, k}(x)$ is the $(k+1)$ th divided difference of the function $(k+1)(\cdot-x)_{+}^{k}$ with respect to $x_{i}, \ldots, x_{i+k+1}$.

It is well known that these $B$-splines verify

$$
\begin{gathered}
N_{i, k}(x) \geqslant 0, \quad \operatorname{supp}\left(N_{i, k}\right)=\left[x_{i}, x_{i+k+1}\right] \\
\sum_{i=-k}^{n-1} N_{i, k}(x)=1, \quad \sum_{i=-k}^{n-1} \xi_{i, k} N_{i, k}(x)=x, \quad \text { and } \quad \int_{0}^{1} M_{i, k}(x) d x=1
\end{gathered}
$$

[^0]Schoenberg [26] constructed a generalization of classical Bernstein operators by setting, for $f \in C(I)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{n, k} f(x)=\sum_{i=-k}^{n-1} f\left(\xi_{i, k}\right) N_{i, k}(x) . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Their properties have been studied in [17].
More recently, Müller [18] defined a second family of spline operators, generalizing the Bernstein-Kantorovitch operators [14], by setting, for $f \in L^{p}(I)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{n, k} f(x)=\sum_{i=-k}^{n-1}\left(\int_{\xi_{i-1, k, 1}, k+1}^{\xi_{1, k}} f(t) d t\right) M_{i, k}(x) . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, we want to study a third family of positive spline operators, generalizing the modified Bernstein operators of Durrmeyer [11] and Derriennic $[8,9]$ which are defined, for $f \in L^{p}(I)$, by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{B}_{k} f(x)=(k+1) \sum_{i=0}^{k}\left(\int_{0}^{1} f(t) b_{i k}(t) d t\right) b_{i k}(x), \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $b_{i, k}(x)=\binom{k}{i} x^{i}(1-x)^{k-i}$ for $0 \leqslant i \leqslant k$.
A remarkable property of these operators is that their eigenfunctions are the Legendre polynomials on $[0,1]$. This property is true, more generally, for similar operators associated with the Jacobi and Laguerre polynomials [4,22]. It seemed rather natural to extend this property to the following spline operators

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{n, k} f(x)=\sum_{i=-k}^{n-1}\left\langle M_{i, k}, f\right\rangle N_{i, k}(x), \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\langle f, g\rangle=\int_{0}^{1} f(t) g(t) d t$.
We give some convergence results when $\left|\Delta_{n}\right|=\max _{i}\left(x_{i+1}-x_{i}\right)$ tends to zero as $n \rightarrow+\infty$ and $f \in L^{p}(I)(1 \leqslant p \leqslant+\infty)$.

Then we prove that the eigenfunctions of (4) form a basis of orthogonal splines on $[0,1]$, generalizing the Legendre polynomials, and different from those given by Schoenberg [26]. More precise results are given about piecewise linear and quadratic orthogonal splines.

We use the notation $\mathrm{Sp}\left(k, \Delta_{n}\right)$ for the space of splines of degree $k$, class $C^{k-1}$, on the partition $\Delta_{n}$ : its dimension is $n+k$ and a basis is $\left\{N_{i, k}(x)\right.$, $-k \leqslant i \leqslant n-1\}$.

## II. Convergence Properties in $L^{p}(I)$

Theorem 1. (i) $U_{n, k}$ is a positive, self-adjoint operator of norm unity in $\mathscr{L}\left(L^{p}(I)\right)$.
(ii) For every $k \geqslant 1, U_{n k} f^{\prime}$ converges to $f \in L^{p}(I)$ when $n \rightarrow+\infty$ and $\left|\Delta_{n}\right| \rightarrow 0$.
(iii) When $f$ is integrable, $\int_{0}^{1} U_{n k} f(t) d t=\int_{0}^{1} f(t) d t$.

Proof. $U_{n k} f(x)=\int_{0}^{1} K_{n, k}(x, t) f(t) d t$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
K_{n, k}(x, t) & =\sum_{i=-k}^{n-1} M_{i, k}(t) N_{i, k}(x) \\
& =\sum_{i} \frac{\left(x_{i+k+1}-x_{i}\right)}{k+1} M_{i, k}(x) M_{i, k}(t) \\
& =K_{n, k}(t, x) \geqslant 0
\end{aligned}
$$

The kernel being positive and symmetric, $U_{n, k}$ is a positive and self-adjoint operator. For $f \in L^{p}(I)$ and $1 / p+1 / q=1$, we get by Hölder's inequality

$$
\left|U_{n k} f(x)\right| \leqslant\left[\int_{0}^{1} K_{n \cdot k}(x, t) d t\right]^{1 / 4}\left[\int_{0}^{1} K_{n, k}(x, t)|f(t)|^{p} d t\right]^{1 / p}
$$

Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{1} K_{n, k}(x, t) d t & =\sum_{i}\left(\int_{0}^{1} M_{i, k}(t) d t\right) N_{i, k}(x) \\
& =\sum_{i} N_{i, k}(x)=1=\int_{0}^{1} K_{n, k}(x, t) d x
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{1}\left|U_{n, k} f(x)\right|^{p} d x & \leqslant \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} K_{n, k}(x, t)|f(t)|^{p} d t d x \\
& =\int_{0}^{1}\left(\int_{0}^{1} K_{n, k}(x, t) d x\right)|f(t)|^{p} d t \\
& =\int_{0}^{1}|f(t)|^{p} d t
\end{aligned}
$$

This proves $\left\|U_{n k} f\right\|_{p} \leqslant\|f\|_{p}$. But when $f=e_{0} \quad\left(e_{0}(x)=1\right.$ for all $\left.x\right)$, $U_{n k} e_{0}=e_{0}$, thus in fact we have an equality and the norm of $U_{n k}$ is 1 in the space $\mathscr{L}\left(L^{p}(I)\right)$ of linear continuous operators on $L^{r}(I)$. For the con-
vergence in $L^{p}(I)$, we use a Korovkin-type theorem [1, 10] which asserts that for positive linear contractions, it is sufficient to prove it for the two test functions $e_{0}(x)=1, e_{1}(x)=x$. But we have

$$
e_{1}=\sum_{i} \xi_{i, k} N_{i, k}, \quad U_{n, k} e_{1}=\sum_{i}\left\langle M_{i, k}, e_{1}\right\rangle N_{i, k}
$$

and
$\left\langle M_{i, k}, e_{1}\right\rangle=\int_{0}^{1} x M_{i, k}(x) d x=\left(\sum_{j=0}^{k+1} x_{i+j}\right) /(k+2)$ (see, e.g., Neuman [16]).
This gives

$$
U_{n, k} e_{1}-e_{1}=\frac{2}{k+2} \sum_{i=-k}^{n-1}\left(\frac{x_{i}+x_{i+k+1}}{2}-\xi_{i, k}\right) N_{i, k}
$$

Since $\left|x_{i}-\xi_{i, k}\right| \leqslant(1 / k) \sum_{j=1}^{k}\left|x_{i}-x_{i+j}\right| \leqslant \frac{1}{2}(k+1)\left|\Delta_{n}\right|$, we get at once; for all $k \geqslant 1$,

$$
\left|U_{n, k} e_{1}(x)-e_{1}(x)\right| \leqslant 2\left(\frac{k+1}{k+2}\right)\left|\Delta_{n}\right| \leqslant 2\left|\Delta_{n}\right| .
$$

In particular $\left\|U_{n, k} e_{1}-e_{1}\right\|_{p} \rightarrow 0$ when $\left|A_{n}\right| \rightarrow 0$.
Q.E.D.

Finally

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{1} U_{n, k} f(t) d t & =\left\langle U_{n, k} f, e_{0}\right\rangle=\left\langle f, U_{n, k} e_{0}\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle f, e_{0}\right\rangle=\int_{0}^{1} f(t) d t
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $L^{p, 1}(I)$ be the space of functions $f \in L^{p}(I)$ with $f^{\prime} \in L^{p}(I)$ and the norm $\|f\|_{p, 1}=\|f\|_{p}+\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|_{p}$.

Lemma 1. For each $x \in I$,

$$
\sum_{i=-k}^{n-1} N_{i, k}(x) \cdot \int_{x_{i}}^{x_{i+k+1}} M_{i, k}(t)|t-x| d t \leqslant(k+1)\left|\Delta_{n}\right|
$$

holds.
Proof. Let $A_{i, k}(x)=\int_{x_{i}}^{x_{i+k+1}} M_{i, k}(t)|t-x| d t$ and let us fix $x \in\left[x_{j}, x_{j+1}\right]$, $0 \leqslant j \leqslant n-1$. The only nonzero $B$-splines at $x$ have indices $i=-k+j, \ldots, j$,
hence $t \in\left[x_{-k+j}, x_{k+j+1}\right],|t-x| \leqslant(k+1)\left|\Delta_{n}\right|$ and, using the properties of $B$-splines

$$
A_{i, k}(x) \leqslant(k+1) A_{n} \quad \text { and } \quad \sum_{i=-k}^{n-1} A_{i, k}(x) N_{i . k}(x) \leqslant(k+1)\left|A_{n}\right|
$$

Lemma 2. For $f \in L^{p, 1}(I), 1 \leqslant p \leqslant+\infty$,

$$
\left\|U_{n, k} f-f\right\|_{p} \leqslant(k+1)\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|_{p}\left|A_{n}\right|
$$

holds.
Proof. For $x$ fixed in $I$, we have

$$
\left|U_{n, k} f(x)-f(x)\right| \leqslant \sum_{i=-k}^{n-1} N_{i, k}(x) \int_{x_{i}}^{x_{i+k+1}} M_{i, k}(t)\left(\int_{x}^{t}\left|f^{\prime}(u)\right| d u\right) d t
$$

Let $A_{i, k}(x)=\int_{x_{i}}^{x_{i+k+1}} M_{i, k}(t)|t-x| d t$ and

$$
B_{i, k}(x)=\int_{x_{i}}^{x_{i+k+1}} M_{i, k}(t)\left(\int_{x}^{t}\left|f^{\prime}(u)\right|^{p} d u\right) d t .
$$

Hölder's inequality ( $1 / p+1 / q=1$ ) gives successively

$$
\begin{gather*}
\int_{x}^{t}\left|f^{\prime}(u)\right| d u \leqslant|t-x|^{1 / q}\left(\int_{x}^{t}\left|f^{\prime}(u)\right|^{p} d u\right)^{1 / p} \\
\int_{x_{i}}^{x_{i+k+1}} M_{i, k}(t)\left(\int_{x}^{t}\left|f^{\prime}(u)\right| d u\right) d t \leqslant A_{i, k}^{1 / q}(x) B_{i, k}^{1 / p}(x) \\
\left|U_{n, k} f(x)-f(x)\right| \leqslant\left[\sum_{i} A_{i, k}(x) N_{i, k}(x)\right]^{1 / 4}\left[\sum_{i} B_{i, k}(x) N_{i, k}(x)\right]^{1 / p} . \tag{5}
\end{gather*}
$$

Lemma 1 gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i} A_{i, k}(x) N_{i, k}(x) \leqslant(k+1)\left|A_{n}\right| . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $x \in\left[x_{i}, x_{i+k+1}\right]$ and by Hölder's inequality

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{0}^{1} B_{i, k}(x) N_{i, k}(x) d x \leqslant & \int_{x_{i}}^{x_{i+k+1}} N_{i, k}(x)\left(\int_{x_{i}}^{x_{i+k+1}} M_{i, k}(t)\right. \\
& \left.\times\left(\int_{x_{i}}^{x_{i+k+1}}\left|f^{\prime}(u)\right|^{p} d u\right) d t\right) d x \\
= & \int_{x_{i}}^{x_{i+k+1}} N_{i, k}(x)\left(\int_{x_{i}}^{x_{i+k+1}}\left|f^{\prime}(u)\right|^{p} d u\right) d x \\
\leqslant & \left|\Delta_{n}\right| \int_{x_{i}}^{x_{i+k+1}}\left|f^{\prime}(u)^{p}\right| d u \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

since

$$
\int_{x_{i}}^{x_{i+k+1}} N_{i, k}(x) d x=\frac{x_{i+k+1}-x_{i}}{k+1} \leqslant\left|\Delta_{n}\right| .
$$

Now, from (5), (6), and (7) we deduce

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|U_{n, k} f-f\right\|_{p} \\
& \quad \leqslant(k+1)^{1 / q}\left|\Delta_{n}\right|^{1 / q}\left|\Delta_{n}\right|^{1 / p}\left(\sum_{i} \int_{x_{i}}^{x_{i+k+1}}\left|f^{\prime}(u)\right|^{p} d u\right)^{1 / p} \\
& \quad \leqslant(k+1)\left|\Delta_{n}\right|\left(\int_{0}^{1}\left|f^{\prime}(u)\right|^{p} d u\right)^{1 / p}
\end{align*}
$$

For $f \in L^{p}(I)$ and $0 \leqslant t \leqslant 1$, the $K$-functional of Peetre [20] is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{p}(t, f)=\inf \left\{\|f-g\|_{p}+t\left\|g^{\prime}\right\|_{p}, g \in L^{p, 1}(I)\right\} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the integral modulus of continuity by

$$
\omega_{1, p}(f, h)=\sup _{0<r \leqslant h}\|f(\cdot+t)-f(\cdot)\|_{p}\left(I_{t}\right)
$$

where $\|\cdot\|\left(I_{t}\right)$ means that the norm is to be taken over the interval $I_{t}=[0,1-t]$. Johnen [13] proved that there exist constants $C_{1}>0$ and $C_{2}>0$, independent of $f$ and $p$, such that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{1} \omega_{1, p}(f, t) \leqslant K_{p}(t, f) \leqslant C_{2} \omega_{1, p}(f, t) \quad(0 \leqslant t \leqslant 1) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 2. There exists a constant $M_{k}>0$ (independent of $f$ and $1 \leqslant p \leqslant+\infty$ ) such that, for all $f \in L^{p}(I)$,

$$
\left\|U_{n, k} f-f\right\|_{p} \leqslant M_{k} \omega_{1, p}\left(f,\left|\Delta_{n}\right|\right) .
$$

Proof. From Theorem 1(i), we have $\left\|U_{n, k} f-f\right\|_{p} \leqslant 2\|f\|_{p}$ for all $f \in L^{p}(I)$ and from Lemma 2, we have

$$
\left\|U_{n, k} g-g\right\|_{p} \leqslant(k+1)\left\|g^{\prime}\right\|_{p}\left|\Delta_{n}\right| \quad \text { for all } \quad g \in L^{p, 1}(I)
$$

thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|U_{n, k} f-f\right\|_{p} & \leqslant\left\|U_{n, k}(f-g)-(f-g)\right\|_{p}+\left\|U_{n, k} g-g\right\|_{p} \\
& \leqslant 2\|f-g\|_{p}+(k+1)\left\|g^{\prime}\right\|_{p}\left|\Delta_{n}\right| \\
& \leqslant 2\left(\|f-g\|_{p}+k\left|\Delta_{n}\right|\left\|g^{\prime}\right\|_{p}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking the infimum over all $g \in L^{p, 1}(I)$ and using (8) and (9) with $t=k\left|\Delta_{n}\right| \leqslant 1$, we get $M_{k}=2 k C_{2}$,

$$
\left\|U_{n, k} f-f\right\|_{p} \leqslant\left(K_{p}\left(k\left|\Delta_{n}\right|, f\right) \leqslant 2 C_{2} \omega_{1, p}\left(f, k\left|\Delta_{n}\right|\right) \leqslant 2 k C_{2} \omega_{1, p}\left(f,\left|\Delta_{n}\right|\right)\right.
$$

## III. Spectral Properties in $L^{2}(I)$

ThEOREM 3. (i) $U_{n, k}$ is a self-adjoint operator in $L^{2}(I)$ having $n+k$ real positive simple eigenvalues $\lambda_{j}(0 \leqslant j \leqslant n+k-1)$,

$$
0<\lambda_{n+k-1}<\cdots<\lambda_{j}<\cdots<i_{1}<\lambda_{0}=1
$$

(ii) The associated eigenfunctions $V_{i}(x)$ are defined by $V_{j}(x)=\sum_{i=-k}^{n-1} \omega_{i j} N_{i, k}(x)$ where $\tilde{V}_{j}=\left(\omega_{i j},-k \leqslant i \leqslant n-1\right)$ is the $j$ th eigenvector of the oscillatory matrix $A_{n, k}=\left(\left\langle M_{i . k}, N_{j, k}\right\rangle,-k \leqslant i, j \leqslant n-1\right)$. Moreover, $V_{0}(x)=1$ and $S^{-}\left(V_{j}\right) \leqslant j$ for $1 \leqslant j \leqslant n+k-1$ (number of changes of sign on $I$ ).
(iii) The best least square approximation $S$ of $f \in L^{2}(I)$ in $S_{p}\left(k, \Delta_{n}\right)$ is

$$
S(x)=\sum_{j=0}^{n+k-1} \gamma_{j}\left\langle f, V_{j}\right\rangle V_{j}(x)
$$

where

$$
\gamma_{j}^{-1}=i_{j} \sum_{i=-k}^{n-1}\left(\frac{x_{i+k+1}-x_{i}}{k+1}\right) \omega_{i j}^{2}
$$

and

$$
\left\langle f, V_{j}\right\rangle=\sum_{i=-k}^{n-1} \omega_{i j} \mu_{i, k}(f)
$$

where

$$
\mu_{i, k}(f)=\left\langle f, N_{i, k}\right\rangle=\int_{0}^{1} N_{i, k}(t) f(t) d t
$$

Proof. $U_{n, k}$ is an operator of finite rank $n+k$ and its restriction to $S p\left(k, A_{n}\right)$ has a $(n+k) \times(n+k)$ matrix in the $B$-spline basis:

$$
A_{n, k}=\left(\left\langle M_{i, k}, N_{j, k}\right\rangle,-k \leqslant i, j \leqslant n-1\right) .
$$

It is stochastic, for $\sum_{j}\left\langle M_{i, k}, N_{i, k}\right\rangle=\left\langle M_{i, k}, e_{0}\right\rangle=1$, hence $\lambda_{0}=1$ and $\tilde{V}_{0}=(1, \ldots, 1) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+k}$ are associated eigenvalue and eigenvector, moreover
$\left|\hat{\lambda}_{j}\right| \leqslant 1$ for $j \geqslant 1$. But $A_{n, k}$ is also the matrix $G=G_{x}$, given by de Boor in [5] and [6], which is totally positive (all subdeterminants are non negative). Since $\left\langle M_{i, k}, N_{i-1, k}\right\rangle$ and $\left\langle M_{i, k}, N_{i+1, k}\right\rangle$ are strictly positive for $k \geqslant 1$, Theorem 2 [12, p. 454] of Gantmakher and Krein implies that $A_{n . k}$ is an oscillatory matrix (i.e., some power of $A_{n, k}$ is strictly totally positive: all subdeterminants are positive). Therefore, its eigenvalues are real, positive, and simple and the $j$ th eigenvector $\tilde{V}_{j}=\left(\omega_{i j},-k \leqslant i \leqslant n-1\right)$ has exactly $j$ strict changes of sign,

$$
S\left(V_{j}\right)=S^{+}\left(V_{j}\right)=j \quad(1 \leqslant j \leqslant n+k-1) .
$$

The $j$ th eigenfunction of $U_{n, k}$ is of course $V_{j}(x)=\sum_{i=-k}^{n-1} \omega_{i j} N_{i, k}(x)$ (in particular $\left.V_{0}(x)=\sum_{i} N_{i, k}(x)=1\right)$ and, in view of the variation-diminishing property of $B$-splines, we have $S^{-}\left(V_{j}\right) \leqslant j$ for $j \geqslant 1$.
The operator $U_{n, k}$ being self-adjoint in $L^{2}(I)$, its eigenfunctions $V_{j}$ form an orthogonal basis in $S p\left(k, A_{n}\right)$. The orthogonal projection of $f \in L^{2}(I)$ on this space is

$$
S(x)=\sum_{j=0}^{n+k-1} \gamma_{j}\left\langle f, V_{j}\right\rangle V_{j}(x)
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\gamma_{j}^{-1} & =\left\langle V_{j}, V_{j}\right\rangle=\sum_{l, n} \omega_{l j} \omega_{m j}\left\langle N_{l, k}, N_{m, k}\right\rangle \\
& =\sum_{l} \omega_{l j}\left(\frac{x_{l+k+1}-x_{l}}{k+1}\right)\left(\sum_{m}\left\langle M_{l, k}, N_{m, k}\right\rangle \omega_{m j}\right) \\
& =\sum_{l} \omega_{l j}\left(\frac{x_{l+k+1}-x_{l}}{k+1}\right)\left(A_{n k} \tilde{V}_{j}\right)_{l}=i_{j} \sum_{l}\left(\frac{x_{l+k+1}-x_{l}}{k+1}\right) \omega_{l j}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\quad \mu_{i, k}(f)=\left\langle f, N_{i, k}\right\rangle=\int_{0}^{1} N_{i, k}(t) f(t) d t \quad(-k \leqslant i \leqslant n-1) \quad$ be the "moments" of $f$ w.r.t. the $B$-spline basis, then we have

$$
\left\langle f, V_{j}\right\rangle=\sum_{i=-k}^{n-1} \omega_{i j}\left\langle f, N_{i, k}\right\rangle=\sum_{i=-k}^{n-1} \omega_{i j} \mu_{i, k}(f) . \quad \text { Q.E.D. }
$$

Remark. From a practical point of view, once the numbers $\omega_{i j}$ (i.e., the components of the eigenvectors $\tilde{V}_{j}$ of $A_{n, k}$ ) and the $\gamma_{j}$ have been computed, the only work to do is to compute the moments $\mu_{i, k}(f)$ and the linear combinations $\left\langle f, V_{j}\right\rangle$ to get the projection $S$ of $f$.
(a) The approximate computation of $\mu_{i, k}(f)$ can be made using special Gaussian quadrature rules for the weight functions $N_{i, k}(x) \geqslant 0$ (see, e.g., [21]).
(b) The evaluation of $S(x)$ can be made in the $B$-spline basis

$$
S=\sum_{j} \gamma_{j}\left\langle f, V_{j}\right\rangle V_{j}=\sum_{j}\left(\sum_{j} \omega_{i j} \gamma_{j}\left\langle f, V_{j}\right\rangle\right) N_{i}
$$

using the classical De Boor-Cox algorithm.

## IV. Piecewise Linear and Quadratic Orthogonal Splines

### 4.1. Piecewise Linear Splines

Let $U_{n, k}=U_{n}$ and $N_{i, k}=N_{i}$ for $k=1$. (hat-functions).
Theorem 4. (i) The $(n+1)$ eigenvalues $\lambda_{j}^{(n)}$ of $U_{n}$ verify

$$
\lambda_{n}^{(n)}=1 / 3<\hat{\lambda}_{j}^{(n)}<\lambda_{0}^{(n)}=1 \quad(1 \leqslant j \leqslant n-1)
$$

(ii) The $(n+1)$ orthogonal eigenfunctions $V_{j}^{(n)}(x)$ of $U_{n}$ have exactly $j$ real simple roots in $(0,1)$, moreover,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V_{0}^{(n)}(x)=\sum_{i=0}^{n} N_{i}(x)=1, \\
& V_{n}^{(n)}(x)=\sum_{i=0}^{n}(-1)^{i} N_{i}(x) .
\end{aligned}
$$

(iii) For the uniform partition $\Delta_{n}=\{i / n, 0 \leqslant i \leqslant n\}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda_{j}^{(n)} & =(2+\cos (j \pi / n)) / 3, \\
V_{j}^{(n)} & =\sum_{i=0}^{n} \cos (i j \pi / n) N_{i}(x), \quad 0 \leqslant j \leqslant n
\end{aligned}
$$

when $n \rightarrow+\infty, V_{j}^{(n)}$ converges uniformly to $\cos (j \pi x)$, for every $j \geqslant 0$ fixed.
Proof. The matrix $A_{n}$ of $U_{n}$ is

$$
A_{n}=\frac{1}{3}\left[\begin{array}{cccccc}
2 & 1 & 0 \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots \\
a_{1} & 2 & b_{1} \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots & \ldots & \ldots \\
0 & a_{2} & 2 & b_{2} \ldots \ldots & \ldots & \ldots \\
\ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots \\
\ldots \ldots & \ldots & a_{n-1} & 2 & b_{n-1} \\
\ldots & \ldots & \ldots & 0 & 1 & 2
\end{array}\right]
$$

where $a_{i}=h_{i} /\left(h_{i+1}+h_{i}\right), b_{i}=1-a_{i}, h_{i}=x_{i}-x_{i-1}$. One verifies (i) by Gershgorin's theorem on eigenvalues and direct computation and (ii) by the fact that $A_{n}$ is an oscillatory stochastic matrix (the principal minors are positive). Since $\tilde{V}_{j}^{(n)}=\left(\omega_{i j}, 0 \leqslant i \leqslant n\right)$ has exactly $j$ changes of sign (Theorem 3), and since $V_{j}^{(n)}$ is piecewise linear, it has also exatly $j$ simple roots in $(0,1)$.

When $\Delta_{n}$ is uniform, $a_{i}=b_{i}=\frac{1}{2}$ and the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of $A_{n}$ are known explicitly, which gives (iii). The uniform convergence of $V_{j}^{(n)}(x)$ to $V_{j}(x)=\cos (j \pi x)$ follows from the fact that $V_{j}^{(n)}$ is the piecewise linear interpolant of $V_{j}$ at the points of $\Delta_{n}$.

Example. For $n=3$ and $\Delta_{n}=\{i / n, 0 \leqslant i \leqslant 3\}$,

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\lambda_{0}=1, & \tilde{V}_{0}^{T}=(1,1,1,1), \\
\lambda_{1}=\frac{5}{6}, & \tilde{V}_{1}^{T}=\left(1, \frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{2},-1\right), \\
\lambda_{2}=\frac{1}{2}, & \tilde{V}_{2}^{T}=\left(1,-\frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{2}, 1\right), \\
\lambda_{3}=\frac{1}{3}, & \tilde{V}_{3}^{T}=(1,-1,1,-1) .
\end{array}
$$

Let us compute successively (Theorem 3(iii)):

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\gamma_{0}^{-1}=\left\langle V_{0}, V_{0}\right\rangle=1, & \gamma_{1}^{-1}=\left\langle V_{1}, V_{1}\right\rangle=\frac{5}{12} \\
\gamma_{2}^{-1}=\left\langle V_{2}, V_{2}\right\rangle=\frac{1}{4}, & \gamma_{3}^{-1}=\left\langle V_{3}, V_{3}\right\rangle=\frac{1}{3}
\end{array}
$$

and the matrix $C=\left(C_{i j}\right)$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{i j}= & \gamma_{j} \sum_{k} \omega_{k i} \omega_{k j}=1+\frac{12}{5} \cos (i \pi / 3) \cos (j \pi / 3) \\
& +4 \cos (2 i \pi / 3) \cos (2 j \pi / 3)+3 \cos (i \pi) \cos (j \pi)
\end{aligned}
$$

we get

$$
C=\frac{2}{5}\left[\begin{array}{rrrr}
26 & -7 & 2 & -1 \\
-7 & 14 & -4 & 2 \\
2 & -4 & 14 & -7 \\
-1 & 2 & -7 & 26
\end{array}\right]
$$

Let $\mu_{i}(f)=\int_{0}^{1} N_{i}(t) f(t) d t$ and

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\alpha_{0}=\frac{2}{5}\left(26 \mu_{0}-7 \mu_{1}+2 \mu_{2}-\mu_{3}\right), & \alpha_{1}=\frac{2}{5}\left(-7 \mu_{0}+14 \mu_{1}-4 \mu_{2}+2 \mu_{3}\right), \\
\alpha_{2}=\frac{2}{5}\left(2 \mu_{0}-4 \mu_{1}+14 \mu_{2}-7 \mu_{3}\right), & \alpha_{3}=\frac{2}{5}\left(-\mu_{0}+2 \mu_{1}-7 \mu_{2}+26 \mu_{3}\right) .
\end{array}
$$

Then, the orthogonal projection of $f$ on $\operatorname{Sp}\left(1, \Delta_{3}\right)$ is

$$
S(x)=\sum_{i=0}^{3} \alpha_{i} N_{i}(x)
$$

and it is easily verified that $\|S\|_{\infty} \leqslant 3\|f\|_{\infty}$ (which is also true for every partition, see De Boor [7], Ciesielski [3]).

Remark. The above result (iii) can be extended to more general partitions. For $x>-1$ and $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1$ define

$$
a_{i}=\frac{2 \alpha+i-1}{2(\alpha+i)} \quad \text { and } \quad b_{i}=1-a_{i}=\frac{i+1}{2(\alpha+i)}
$$

In order that $a_{i}=h_{i} /\left(h_{i}+h_{i+1}\right)$, we must take the partition $\Delta_{n}$ of $I$ defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
h_{1}^{-1} & =1+\frac{b_{1}}{a_{1}}+\frac{b_{1} b_{2}}{a_{1} a_{2}}+\cdots+\frac{b_{1} \cdots b_{n-1}}{a_{1} \cdots a_{n-1}} \\
h_{i} & =h_{1} \cdot \frac{b_{1} \cdots b_{i-1}}{a_{1} \cdots a_{i-1}} \quad \text { for } \quad 2 \leqslant i \leqslant n
\end{aligned}
$$

Define the polynomials $\tilde{C}_{n}^{(\alpha)}(x)$ by the recurrence relation of ultraspherical polynomials

$$
\begin{equation*}
x \widetilde{C}_{n}^{(\alpha)}(x)=a_{i} \widetilde{C}_{n-1}^{(x)}(x)+b_{i} \widetilde{C}_{n+1}^{(x)}(x), \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

but with different initial conditions

$$
\tilde{C}_{0}^{(\alpha)}(x)=1 \quad \text { and } \quad \tilde{C}_{1}^{(\alpha)}(x)=x
$$

From (10), we deduce the eigenvalues

$$
\lambda_{k}^{(n)}=\left(2+x_{k}^{(n)}\right) / 3,
$$

and the eigenvectors

$$
\tilde{v}_{k}^{(n)}=\left(\tilde{C}_{0}^{(\alpha)}\left(x_{k}^{(n)}\right), \tilde{C}_{1}^{(\alpha)}\left(x_{k}^{(n)}\right), \ldots, \tilde{C}_{n}^{(\alpha)}\left(x_{k}^{(n)}\right)\right),
$$

where $\left\{x_{k}^{(n)}, 0 \leqslant k \leqslant n\right\}$ are the $n+1$ roots in $I$ of the equation

$$
\tilde{C}_{n+1}^{(x)}(x)=\tilde{C}_{n-1}^{(x)}(x) .
$$

Numerical experiments suggest that, for all $k \geqslant 0$, the piecewise linear spline $v_{k}^{(n)}(x)$, whose value at $t_{i}=h_{1}+\cdots+h_{i}$ is $\tilde{C}_{i}^{(x)}\left(x_{k}^{(n)}\right)$, converges uniformly, when $n \rightarrow+\infty$, to some special function $v_{k}(x)$. Magnus [15] proved that, at least near $x=1, v_{k}(x)$ has the behavior of $F\left(j_{k}^{\prime} x^{1 /(3} 2 x\right)$ ) $\left(\alpha<\frac{3}{2}\right)$, where $\left\{j_{k}^{\prime}, k \geqslant 0\right\}$ are the abscissae of the extrema of $F(x)=$ $\Gamma(3 / 2-\alpha)(2 / x)^{1 / 2-\alpha} J_{1 / 2-x}(x)$. For $\quad \alpha=1, \quad J_{-1 / 2}(x)=\sqrt{2 / \pi}(\cos x / \sqrt{x})$, $j_{k}^{\prime}=k \pi$, and $v_{k}(x)=\cos (k \pi x)$. For $\alpha=\frac{1}{2}, j_{k}^{\prime}$ is a zero of $J_{1}$ and $v_{k}(x)=$ $J_{0}\left(j_{k}^{\prime} \sqrt{x}\right)$ (orthogonal system of Fourier-Bessel). I conjecture that $v_{k}^{(n)}(x)$ converges uniformly to $v_{k}(x)$ in the whole interval $I$.

### 4.2. Quadratic Splines on an Uniform Partition

The matrix $A_{n}$ has rank $n+2$ and is given explicitly in [5]. For example,

$$
A_{5}=\frac{1}{120}\left(\begin{array}{rrccccc}
72 & 42 & 6 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
21 & 60 & 37.5 & 1.5 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
2 & 25 & 66 & 26 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 26 & 66 & 26 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 26 & 66 & 26 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1.5 & 37.5 & 60 & 21 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 6 & 42 & 72
\end{array}\right)
$$

This matrix is a perturbation of

$$
A_{5}^{*}=\frac{1}{120}\left(\begin{array}{rrrrrrr}
66 & 52 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
26 & 67 & 26 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 26 & 66 & 26 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 26 & 66 & 26 & 1 & 0 . \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 26 & 66 & 26 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 26 & 67 & 26 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 52 & 66
\end{array}\right)
$$

For $0 \leqslant k \leqslant n+1$, the eigenvalues of $A_{n}^{*}$ are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{k}^{(n)}=\left(\left(x_{k}^{(n)}\right)^{2}+13 x_{k}^{(n)}+16\right) / 30 \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x_{k}^{(n)}=\cos (k \pi /(n+1))$, and the associated eigenvectors are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\mu}_{k}^{(n)}=\left(1, x_{k}^{(n)}, T_{2}\left(x_{k}^{(n)}\right), \ldots, T_{n+1}\left(x_{k}^{(n)}\right)\right), \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $T_{n}(x)$ is the Chebyshev polynomial of degree $n$. (This is a straightforward consequence of the recurrence relation $2 x T_{n}(x)=$ $T_{n-1}(x)+T_{n+1}(x)$ ). We can use (11) and (12) as starting eigenvalues and
eigenvectors for the inverse power method applied to $A_{n}$. Numerical experiments show that the convergence is rather fast (about 15 iterations for eight digits). Moreover, we have, when $n \rightarrow+\infty$,

$$
\lambda_{1}^{(n)}<\mu_{1}^{(n)} \sim 1-\frac{\pi^{2}}{4(n+1)^{2}}
$$

( $n=5: \lambda_{1}=0.909679116<\mu_{1}=0.933611008$ ).
The least eigenvalue $\lambda_{n+1}^{(n)}$ is very near $\mu_{n+1}^{(n)}=\frac{2}{15}$ (e.g., $n=5$, $\lambda_{6}=0.123328549<\mu_{6}=0.133333333, \quad n=8, \quad \lambda_{9}=0.126779075<\mu_{9}=$ 0.133333333 ). Moreover the drawing of graphs suggest, as in the piecewise linear case, the uniform convergence of $v_{k}^{(n)}(x)$ to $v_{k}(x)=\cos (k \pi x)$ when $n \rightarrow+\infty$. Of course these conjecture have to be proved. Similar results are observable for cubic orthogonal splines.

## V. Some Extensions of the Results

5.1. It has been proved [23, Chap. 4] and [24]) that not only $S^{-}\left(V_{j}\right) \leqslant j$ (see Theorem 3(ii)) but that, even for $k \geqslant 2, V_{j}$ has exactly $j$ simple real roots in $(0,1)$ and that the roots of $V_{j+1}$ lie between those of $V_{j}$ (like the roots of orthogonal polynomials).
5.2. When $\langle f, g\rangle=\int_{0}^{1} \omega(t) f(t) g(t) d t$ with a positive weight function (essentially $\left.\omega(t)=t^{\alpha}(1-t)^{\beta} ; \alpha, \beta>-1\right)$ the results of Theorems 1 and 2 are valid with minor modifications. The results of Theorem 3 would be valid if one should be able to prove that the corresponding matrix $A_{n, k}$ is yet an oscillatory matrix. The case $\omega(t)=t^{x} e^{-t}$ on $\mathbb{R}^{+}$is also interesting. These scalar products give rise to Jacobi and Laguerre splines.
5.3. In a similar way, Chebyshev $B$-splines [27] could be used to define orthogonal generalized splines, but the main problem also concerns the matrix $A_{n, k}$.
5.4. The extension to tensor-product orthogonal splines on $Q=I \times I$ is straightforward. More generally, if $\Omega$ is a triangulated domain in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ and if there exists a basis of positive $B$-splines $\left\{N_{j}\right\}$ forming a partition of unity on $\Omega$, then it is possible to define the operator:

$$
U f(x)=\sum_{j}\left(\int_{\Omega} M_{j}(t) f(t) d t\right) N_{j}(x)
$$

and the corresponding orthogonal splines as eigenfunctions of this operator if its matrix w.r.t. the basis $\left\{N_{j}\right\}$ has good properties.
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